



United States Patent and Trademark Office

()

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/926,243	09/28/2001	Etsuo Kiuchi	P107242-0002	2638
4372 7	590 . 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			8
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/926,243	KIUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M Rachuba	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Iowance except for formal mat	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 32-107 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33,41,44,47, and 59 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 35,37,53 and 56 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	en Sheet is/are withdrawn from ed. to. and/or election requirement.	consideration.
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		picated to by the Everyiner
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2001 is. Applicant may not request that any objection t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	- · · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No. 09/926,243

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 32,34,36,38-40,42,43,45,46,48,49,51,52,54,55,57,58 and 60-107.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 32, 34, 36, 38-40, 42, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58 and 60-107 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Tanaka et al, 5,718,620, as set forth in the Office action mailed September 23, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 41 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al '620 in view of Morimoto et al 5,127,196, as set forth in the Office action mailed September 23, 2003.
- 6. Claims 44, 47 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al '620, as set forth in the Office action mailed September 23, 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,243

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 35, 37, 53 and 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant's argue that '620 does not disclose the invention as set forth in claim 1, in that '620 does not provide the benefits or structure discussed by the specification. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., shorter distances between the table surface and cooling water flow path) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). If applicant means to argue that '620 does not explicitly teach forming the table by casting, applicant is reminded that while functional language in apparatus claims directed to how the apparatus is made is always considered, such limitations are not given patentable weight. As '620 shows the structure claimed, the examiner considers that the apparatus is anticipated by '620.
- 10. The rejection based on 35 USC 103 of '620 over Jimbo et al has been overcome. Claims 35, 37, 53 and 56 have been objected to, but would be allowable if amended to include all the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/926,243

Art Unit: 3723

11. Applicant further argues that '196 does not teach the claimed range of temperature control of the table to be 10 degrees Celsius or less, or that the workholder has holes for adhering the work to the holder by vacuum, in that a mere recitation that a vacuum is used does not teach that the holder has holes. The examiner disagrees. '196 discloses that "the temperature of table 20 is maintained at approximately 10 degrees Celsius...". This clearly meets applicant's claimed limitation of "temperature changes at any position of a polishing surface of a polishing cloth in polishing action are controlled to 10^oC or less...". By maintaining the temperature of the table at "approximately 10 degrees Celsius" '196 is within the range of "100°C or less" as claimed by applicant. Regarding applicant's argument that the presence of a vacuum to hold the wafer to the holder does not indicate the presence of holes in the workholder plate, the examiner again disagrees. '196 discloses "the backside of the substrate 23 is held in contact with the bottom of carrier 24 by vacuum...". In order for the back of the substrate to be in contact with the bottom of the carrier by vacuum there must be openings in the carrier to allow a negative pressure to be developed between the surface of the substrate and the surface of the plate. This is a clear teaching to provide '620 with such a holding means, to prevent slippage of the wafer relative to the holding plate.

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr March 30, 2004